Worcestershire County Council

Item 6 - Appendices

Agenda Overview and Scrutiny Performance Board

Wednesday, 24 February 2016, 10.00 am County Hall, Worcester

All County Councillors are invited to attend and participate

This document can be made available in other formats (large print, audio tape, computer disk and Braille) on request from Democratic Services on telephone number 01905 728713 or by emailing democraticservices@worcestershire.gov.uk

If you can not understand the contents of this document and do not have access to anyone who can translate it for you, please contact 01905 765765 for help.

বাংলা। আপনি যদি এই দলিলের বিষয়বন্ধু বুন্ধতে না পারেন এবং আপনার জন্য অনুবাদ করার মত পরিচিত কেউ না থাকলে,অনুগ্রহ করে সাধ্যয্যের জন্য 01905 765765 নম্বর যোগাযোগ করুন। (Bengali)

廣東話。如果您對本文檔內容有任何不解之處並且沒有人能夠對此問題做出解釋,請撥打 01905 765765 尋求幫助。(Cantonese)

普通话。如果您对本文件内容有任何不解之处并且没有人能够对此问题做出解释,请拨打 01905 765765 寻求帮助。(Mandarin)

Polski eżeli nie rozumieją Państwo treści tego dokumentu i nie znają nikogo, kto mógłby go dla Państwa przetłumaczyć, proszę zadzwonić pod numer 01905 765765 w celu uzyskania pomocy. (Polish)

Português. Se não conseguir compreender o conteúdo deste documento e não conhecer ninguém que lho possa traduzir, contacte o 01905 765765 para obter assistência. (Portuguese)

Español. Si no comprende el contenido de este documento ni conoce a nadie que pueda traducírselo, puede solicitar ayuda llamando al teléfono 01905 765765. (Spanish)

Türkçe. Bu dokümanın içeriğini anlayamazsanız veya dokümanı sizin için tercüme edebilecek birisine ulaşamıyorsanız, lütfen yardım için 01905 765765 numaralı telefonu arayınız. (Turkish)

اردو۔ اگر آپ اس نستاویز کی مشمولات کو سمجھنے سے قاصر ہیں اور کسی ایسے شخص تک آپ کی رسانی نہیں ہے جو آپ کے لئے اس کا ترجمہ کر سکے تو، براہ کرم مدد کے لئے 765765 01900 پر رابطہ کریں۔ (Urdu)

کوردی سزرانی. نگم ناتوانی تئیگمی له ناوم زکی نم بطگیم و دست به هیچ کس ناگات که وجیگن یتموه بزت، تکایه تطفون بکه بز ژمار می 765765 19000 و دارای رینوینی بکه (Kurdish)

ਪੰਜਾਬੀ। ਜੇ ਤੁਸੀਂ ਇਸ ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ਼ ਦਾ ਮਜ਼ਮੂਨ ਸਮਝ ਨਹੀਂ ਸਕਦੇ ਅਤੇ ਕਿਸੇ ਅਜਿਹੇ ਵਿਅਕਤੀ ਤੱਕ ਪਹੁੰਚ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ, ਜੋ ਇਸਦਾ ਤੁਹਾਡੇ ਲਈ ਅਨੁਵਾਦ ਕਰ ਸਕੇ, ਤਾਂ ਕਿਰਪਾ ਕਰਕੇ ਮਦਦ ਲਈ 01905 765765 'ਤੇ ਫ਼ੋਨ ਕਰੋ। (Punjabi)



Find out more online: www.worcestershire.gov.uk

DISCLOSING INTERESTS

There are now 2 types of interests: <u>'Disclosable pecuniary interests'</u> and <u>'other disclosable interests'</u>

WHAT IS A 'DISCLOSABLE PECUNIARY INTEREST' (DPI)?

- Any employment, office, trade or vocation carried on for profit or gain
- **Sponsorship** by a 3rd party of your member or election expenses
- Any **contract** for goods, services or works between the Council and you, a firm where you are a partner/director, or company in which you hold shares
- Interests in land in Worcestershire (including licence to occupy for a month or longer)
- **Shares** etc (with either a total nominal value above £25,000 or 1% of the total issued share capital) in companies with a place of business or land in Worcestershire.

NB Your DPIs include the interests of your <u>spouse/partner</u> as well as you

WHAT MUST I DO WITH A DPI?

- Register it within 28 days and
- Declare it where you have a DPI in a matter at a particular meeting
 you must not participate and you must withdraw.
- NB It is a criminal offence to participate in matters in which you have a DPI

WHAT ABOUT 'OTHER DISCLOSABLE INTERESTS'?

- No need to register them but
- You must **declare** them at a particular meeting where: You/your family/person or body with whom you are associated have a **pecuniary interest** in or **close connection** with the matter under discussion.

WHAT ABOUT MEMBERSHIP OF ANOTHER AUTHORITY OR PUBLIC BODY?

You will not normally even need to declare this as an interest. The only exception is where the conflict of interest is so significant it is seen as likely to prejudice your judgement of the public interest.

DO I HAVE TO WITHDRAW IF I HAVE A DISCLOSABLE INTEREST WHICH ISN'T A DPI?

Not normally. You must withdraw only if it:

- affects your **pecuniary interests OR** relates to a **planning or regulatory** matter
- AND it is seen as likely to prejudice your judgement of the public interest.

DON'T FORGET

- If you have a disclosable interest at a meeting you must disclose both its existence and nature – 'as noted/recorded' is insufficient
- Declarations must relate to specific business on the agenda
 - General scattergun declarations are not needed and achieve little
- Breaches of most of the **DPI provisions** are now **criminal offences** which may be referred to the police which can on conviction by a court lead to fines up to £5,000 and disqualification up to 5 years
- Formal **dispensation** in respect of interests can be sought in appropriate cases.

Simon Mallinson Head of Legal and Democratic Services July 2012 WCC/SPM summary/f



Overview and Scrutiny Performance Board Wednesday, 24 February 2016, 10.00 am, County Hall, Worcester

Membership

Councillors:

Mr R M Udall (Chairman), Mrs E A Eyre (Vice Chairman), Mr C J Bloore, Ms L R Duffy, Mr A C Roberts, Mr C B Taylor, Mr P A Tuthill and Mr T A L Wells

Co-opted Church Representatives (for education matters)

Bryan Allbut (Church of England) and Francis Mohan (Roman Catholic)

Parent Governor Representatives (for education matters)

Ms C Richardson ((Parent Governor)) and Vacancy (Secondary)

Agenda

Item No	Subject	Page No
6	Developing a Scrutiny Approach to Quality Assurance	1 - 12

Agenda produced and published by the Head of Legal and Democratic Services, County Hall, Spetchley Road, Worcester WR5 2NP. For general enquiries: 01905 763763 Worcestershire Hub: 01905 765765 Email: worcestershirehub@worcestershire.gov.uk

To obtain further information or hard copies of this agenda, please contact Alyson Grice/Samantha Morris telephone: Worcester (01905) 76 6619 email: scrutiny@worcestershire.gov.uk

All the above reports and supporting information can be accessed via the Council's website at http://www.worcestershire.gov.uk/cms/democratic-services/minutes-and-agenda.aspx

This page is intentionally left blank

Draft - Developing a Scrutiny Approach to QA (16 February 2016)

Proposal

- 1. The Proposal aims to:
- ensure each Scrutiny Panel incorporates a consideration of assurance of quality in respect of services being scrutinised.
- heighten the Overview and Scrutiny Performance Board (OSPB) and the Overview and Scrutiny Panel's understanding of the Council's current approach to Quality Assurance (QA) of its delivered or commissioned services; and

Background

- 2. Currently OSPB, via its Scrutiny Panels and its own critical friend challenge process, has the opportunity via the Work Programme to look at every service provided or commissioned by the Council; and through the work of the Corporate and Communities Overview and Scrutiny Panel to look more closely at services that are in the process of being commissioned.
- 3. An understanding of the current financial context, the state of the most recent quarterly performance measures and evidenced outcomes should underpin any scrutiny undertaken. Worcestershire County Council's focus on QA has to date been less developed in that process.
- 4. In Summer 2014 the Centre for Public Scrutiny sought bids to be a Scrutiny Development Area in Commissioning – which Worcestershire were successful in gaining and as a result were given 6 days of free support between October 2014 and April 2015 which was used to support scrutiny to have a positive impact on transformation and commissioning.
- 5. It was subsequently decided that an alternative mechanism to the pilot QA Group should be sought and in May 2015 the new Vice-Chairman of the OSPB was tasked by the Board to look at this issue and make an alternative recommendation. Progress to date:
 - Stage 1: Outline scope discussed by OSPB on 7 July 2015
 - Stage 2: Carry out further work July to November 2015
 - Stage 3: A go live date 1st December 2015.
- 6. Stage 2 has been achieved with full co-operation of the Directors, OSPB and Panel Chairman.
- 7. Stage 3 was redefined by OSPB comments and a further draft was circulated for comments.
- 8. Refining how each of the Directorates quality assure has taken longer than expected but the work was carried out at a time when Directors had a clear imperative to deliver a balanced budget in very challenging circumstances.

Findings

- 9. Focussing on quality, drilling down in this area is challenging both for Scrutiny and the Council. It is, as expected, reassuring to know that each Directorate does have robust mechanisms to quality assure its provided and commissioned services. (See Appendix A). It is noted that in some cases Cabinet Members are engaged in the process and others are less so.
- 10. Generally members have not been privy to most of these arrangements, though some quality information has been shared with Scrutiny and the Corporate Parenting Board on occasions.
- 11. Moving to the 2020 Council Vision where the Council envisages the number of individuals commissioning services (personal budgets) should be higher and the Council's role in terms of QA for these high risk services has to be further defined, QA for low risk services will be self-managed through customer feedback.
- 12. It is right that the County Council has a high ambition for its directly provided or commissioned services. QA, as a growing component of the Council's work, reflects its ambition for excellence.

Commissioned Services

13. When commissioning services:

- Quality is one factor reflected in the award criteria of a tender process. To what percentage it is a Council determinant is balanced by the officers?
- Quality certification is the process which covers the systems in place, the standard and details of monitoring, by whom and when this forms or should form part of our procurement pre-qualification questionnaire.
- Any commissioning cycle has four parts to it. The review stage should be heavily quality orientated.
- Agreed monitoring arrangements always include qualitative measures for deliverables.
- 14. The Corporate Business Board is ultimately responsible for QA in respect of delivered services, outcome realisation, quality accreditations, and legal service compliance. The design of the delivery model of a service is determined through analysis of many factors not least of which is quality.

The Introduction of QA to Scrutiny

- 15. It is important that members are clear on the Council's current and developing QA tools and mechanisms. (See Appendix A).
- 16. In addition, there is the role of and the understanding of the Balanced Scorecard which links directly.
- 17. It is important to respect the community right to challenge and appreciate the impact quality can have on the Council's reputation.
- 18. Any approach to QA should be robust, proportionate and consistent with agreed professional standards.

- 19. Objective measures of quality are quite tricky. The reality of life in a complex, multifaceted organisation, such as Worcestershire County Council, where spending £1million per day is that occasionally some aspect will not function as well as it could or should.
- 20. Worcestershire County Council aims high. It has high standards. There is considerable value in aiming high even if the standard is never reached rather than following a bottom up 'adequacy' approach.
- 21. Appropriate Scrutiny can add to the Council's QA process, however an enhanced level of engagement between the Directorates and Scrutiny will be required to maximise its engagement around QA.
- 22. It should be noted that, there will be a small number of times when sensitive personal data can and should only be shared in a confidential non-public forum. It was agreed that information considered confidential and discussed in a confidential non-public forum will require the unanimous agreement of all members of the Overview and Scrutiny Performance Board, informed by discussions with the relevant Director and Cabinet Member.
- 23. If an issue is regarded as confidential, the member's code of conduct will apply. This factor is added security to information being treated appropriately. Members will appreciate if confidences are broken it breaks the trust of transparency.

Recommendations:

- 1. A step change is required in scrutiny this could be achieved through training for both members and democratic services officers covering:
 - a. the importance of understanding service budgets, service scope, Key Performance Indicator's and QA data
 - b. an introduction to the current Directorate QA arrangements (Appendix A),
 - c. a brief familiarisation with the Council's complaints procedure and reports to facilitate interpretation of the quarterly complaints data.

It is suggested that training could be provided as part of 2017 Council's Induction training programme.

- 2. Within 2 months of the training, each Panel should meet with the Director and the CMR so that members could check their understanding of the information available from the Directorate and be given appropriate examples (this should not be a public meeting A closed session is suggested to allow for the sharing of detail which is either resident/individual sensitive or commercially sensitive with respect to contractual arrangements.
- 3. The Corporate and Communities Overview and Scrutiny Panel should discuss with the Director whether their overview of a specific providers **planned** quality arrangements prior to commissioning might add value.

NB: The setting up of additional new panels or groups should be avoided to reduce over complication, bureaucracy and delay.

This page is intentionally left blank

APPENDIX A

A GUIDE TO QUALITY ASSURANCE INFORMATION AVAILABLE FROM DIRECTORATES FOR ACCESSIBLE BY SCRUTINY

1. ECONOMY AND INFRASTRUCTURE

Economy and Infrastructure (previously BEC) has looked at quality from the customer service perspective with the use of the Customer Service Excellence standard, however in this exercise customer facing services were not assessed separately. E & I prepared an assessment document which is used along with an on-site assessment (5 days for E & I by the external assessor to achieve the standard).

E & I Customer Service Excellence initial assessment report covers its key service delivery areas, identifies areas for improvement and is benchmarking to some degree the council's services in respect of this directorate.

The comprehensiveness of the report adds real value. Safety audits and other mechanisms enhance the QA arrangements.

Other mechanisms include:

Highways

Road Safety Audit Reports up to Stage 3 <u>before</u> a road is opened and Stage 4 once the programme is complete.

General Road Condition Visual Inspection

Focus groups on the condition of the roads

Viewpoint

Legal insurance claims - trends

Term contracts for highways and transport services all contain pro-active performance management metrics. These control time, cost and quality of services and major infrastructure projects. They are reported and monitored monthly and each contract requires the supplier to take action on any adverse trends in result. These measures contribute to a score and the supplier has to meet certain scores to win contract extensions. Therefore the supplier is incentivised to perform at or above the required service level.

One such measure, 'service compliance inspections' involves WCC staff inspecting work and scoring compliance against the specification. There is a role in Street Lighting to carry out this function:

- to carry out planned and random audits of the lighting asset for the purpose of contractor performance monitoring.
- to inspect lighting assets, as directed, including carrying out immediate minor electrical safety measures as directed and within policy guidelines and electrical competencies.

Transport

Annual Customer Survey – do they run on time? Sampling – other questions

Broadband - Programme Quality

Quarterly Milestone Assurance Process at various stages in deployment cycle (planning, build, premises passed) – Intelligent Q&A of what has been delivered, ensuring it meets WCC requirements. Process includes Finance, Audit, Research and Project officers.

Quarterly review of finance invoices ensuring the spend corresponds to the build assurance.

Quarterly BDUK (Central Government) comparison report. Including analysis of 'broadband speeds achieved'

Reporting Requirements in contract ask for variety of indicators that can be used to measure benefits. One such report brings back estimated speeds of connections enabled at a postcode level.

Broadband - Deployment Quality

Highways undertake inspections of working practices and reinstatements (as part of Business as Usual)

Planning

Waste

Customer Viewpoint survey questions about Household Recycling Centres Pollution Control Monitoring of former household waste sites Severn Waste Services holds ISO 9001, 14001 and 18001 for all household waste sites and their Quality and Environment System holds a record of assessment dates and findings.

Archaeology

Chartered Institute for Archaeology

Economy & Infrastructure plan to tighten up QA in relation to projects and commissioned services and the commissioning framework.

Key personnel: Heads of Service

Key Links: <u>Customer Excellence Standard</u> The Directorate Risk Register



BEC Directorate Risk Register - August 15.

2. CHILDREN FAMILIES AND COMMUNITY DIRECTORATE (CFC)

SOCIAL CARE

Objective measures of quality are tricky in this area. QA is dependent on Partnership activity in many cases. Quality information sets the scene but does not guarantee. This Council and its partners aim high, which is deemed to be the most appropriate approach, others set a more achievable benchmark. There is always a danger of the high challenge setting hares running if standards are not achieved. The quality of Children's Social Care, bearing in mind the previous caveat, can be tracked and assessed as follows:

- Minutes of the Local Children's Safeguarding Board,
- The Back to Basics Improvement Board monthly reports,
- Ofsted reports on all services provided and commissioned: Residential homes, IFS's, Children's Centres,
- CQC where inspections are carried out,
- Serious Case Reviews which, an a redacted format names etc, are public documents,
- Performance Board case file audit reports which have a strong focus on QA,
- Peer Reviews,
- Corporate Parenting Board receives reports on performance indicators and interrogates the quality of services for all Looked after Children.
- Feedback from the IRO (Independent Reviewing Officers) for Looked after Children and Conference Chairs

EDUCATION AND SKILLS

In Education, progress and attainment are measured nationally at "Key Stages" including performance in public examinations, and these are accepted quality outcomes. Comparative information is available for children from different ethnic backgrounds, by gender, who come from deprived areas, or are in receipt of Free School Meals. The Council contributes to the achievement of outcomes through commissioned or directly provided services, but is schools themselves which make the largest impact.

The Government has announced a review of local authority functions in education. At present key responsibilities include ensuring a sufficiency of places, co-ordinating admissions, deciding the formula which allocates funding to schools, assessing children with special educational needs, championing the needs of vulnerable children, and particular responsibilities towards children in care.

Apart from the educational outcomes described above there are additional indicators of quality in this area:

- the percentage of schools judged by Ofsted to be good and outstanding,
- the outcome of a Local Authority School Improvement Inspection (LASI),
- Children Missing Education or in alternative provision
- Temporary and permanent exclusions
- Rates of persistent absence

In addition to performance information, the commissioning out of services will generate contract performance measures, some of which can be used to measure quality. Without disclosing commercially sensitive information

red and amber indicators can be shared with the Children, Families and Community Panel in an appropriate way twice yearly.

Services commissioned out:

Although many education services have been commissioned to Babcock International, see below, the Council retains overall accountability for outcomes for children and young people. We have maintained a core of retained services within the council in order to monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of this contract. We have also maintained direct responsibility for some services, specifically for school place planning, the Virtual Headteacher for Looked after Children, and the strategic overview of services for children and young people with special educational needs.

In addition to performance information the commissioning out of services will generate contract performance measures, some of which can be used to measure quality. Without disclosing commercially sensitive information red and amber indicators can be shared with the Children & Families Overview and Scrutiny Panel in an appropriate way twice yearly.

Special Education Needs Service (at a date to be agreed with the provider and WCC) School Admissions

Children missing Education (CME)

Elective Home Education (EHE)

Exclusions

Post 16 Engagement

Specialist Inclusion Services:

- Educational Psychology Service
- Learning Support Service (LST)
- Integrated Specialist Support Service (ISSS)
- Gypsy Roma Traveller Service (GRT)
- Physical Difficulties Outreach Service
- Early Years Inclusion Team
- The Virtual School for Looked After and Adopted Children
- School Improvement
- Governor Services
- Early Years and Childcare
- Education Investigation Service
- Children in Entertainment and Employment
- Education Welfare Service
- Newly Qualified Teachers (NQTs)

Key Performance Indicators are part of the contract with the provider. They are available, dependent on the service and it level of risk, monthly, quarterly, annually. To avoid doubling up on work it is recommended the red and amber indicators are shared with the Children & Families Overview and Scrutiny Panel in an appropriate way twice yearly. This information should, with soft intelligence help the Panel determine areas for its work programme.

SKILLS

The Directorate has a key role to play in the Council's Open for Business Strategy. Working through the Employment and Skills sub-group of the LEP, this is to support delivery of the LEP's key strategic aims. This is managed through the Connecting Schools and Business Programme, which seeks to:

- Further develop links between schools and business through programmes such as careers guidance systems and work experience programmes
- Contribute to the further reduction of the proportion of young people who are not in education, employment and training (NEETs)
- Develop the range and number of apprenticeships on offer in the county
- Develop links with adult education to foster a culture of lifelong learning

In addition to this the Directorate is leading the Council's involvement in the proposed development of a University Technical College to provide greater skills and expertise in STEM subjects (science, technology, engineering and maths). We will also continue to expand our links with further and higher education, for example through the 2016 national review of further education provision.

ADULT SERVICES AND HEALTH DIRECTORATE.

The national ASCOF framework and the West Midlands ADASS peer challenge programme provide comparative data about the quality of services in Worcestershire compared to other areas.

The Directorate has a QA policy and procedures in place for in-house as well as externally commissioned services.

In-house social work and safeguarding adult's services are judged according to a range of measures including whether staff are properly trained, the timeliness and completeness of assessments and the associated records, and whether people's outcomes are being met. Corporate performance management reports include some measures of service quality and further detail is provided to DLT on a regular basis. Assurance on the quality of safeguarding adult's services is also provided the Safeguarding Adults Board and sub-groups. In house adult social care services are judged based on CQC inspections.

For externally commissioned services, quality standards are set out in contracts. The Directorate risk then stratifies services into groups based on the risk of harm arising – for example nursing homes are higher risk than Health Checks. Each group of services then has a QA regime based on a combination of proactive contract monitoring as well as use of intelligence. The Directorate receives and collates intelligence from a range of sources including the CQC, CCGs, local health and care staff, users, carers, as well as local members and MPs. Contract monitoring information and intelligence is used to form a judgement about the quality of each service and identify concerns. Low level concerns are managed through the contractual process. Those services with serious quality concerns are reported monthly to DLT and Lead Members along with the action being taken to resolve these. Public facing information about care home and home care services is available on the CQC website.

In addition, useful documents might be:

- Adult social services quality report
- QA Policy and procedure: externally commissioned services
- The Reports of the Adult Safeguarding Board,
- The Community Safety Partnership minutes,
- CQC reports where inspections are carried out,
- Performance Board reports have a strong focus on QA,
- A set of quality reports is sent to DLT. They have a specific focus on red rated risks Care Homes / Domiciliary Care there are 4 aspects of risk, and the reports give clear and extensive detail of active and proactive actions.
 - QA and Compliance Quarterly Exception report all red rated risks.
 - QACT report *Appendix 1 contracts and QA team log
 - QACT report *Appendix 2 and Appendix 3 combined monthly report providers, location, contract value, start /end date contract, service users funded, hours, beds as applicable - contractual status.
 - QACT report *Appendix 4 Consumer relationships details issues, complaints, risk level and learning.
 - QACT CU Contract with Worcestershire Health and Care Trust and CCGs
 *Appendix 5 is quarterly report to DCT C & QA
 - QACT Contracts relating to individuals with complex needs report to DLT C & QA *Appendix 8 also comes quarterly and includes information re children's services: camhs, complex needs. The CMR of DASH receives these reports but historically not the CMR ChS

*These are not appendices to this document but the name re the DLT reports

Helpful background reading (one off) to this area and the reports are:

- the Domiciliary Care Guidance to Providers on Monitoring Process (PDF)
- the Domiciliary care Contract and Appendix 1 to that document
- the Service Specification for Domiciliary Care

COMERCIAL AND CHANGE DIRECTORATE

This Directorate provides support for strategic commissioners who are responsible for outcomes, budget and value for money. It ensures that the QA standards and performance measures that the different Directorates set are embedded within legal contracts where services are commissioned to 3rd parties. All relevant contracts will have service credit regimes which help ensure that QA and performance standards are met. It also ensures that effective and efficient provider QA monitoring of performance is embedded within contracts including statutory reporting requirements. This includes defining key roles, key staff, escalation processes, regular service delivery meeting, QA meeting and commercial meeting regimes. The Directorate also ensures that in the unlikely event of continued and fundamental failure the authority is able to take measures to correct a failure or exit a contract.

The QA of contracts is proactive. The quality of each commissioned service is monitored by the individual Directorates. The Commercial & Change Directorate on an ongoing basis will monitor and assist with the commercial value for money of contracts and will assist with any changes to quality requirements through the use of the change control processes which are defined within a contract. Furthermore it gets involved in any disputes or variations that might arise during the lifetime of the contract. The approach taken is very much a seamless partnership between the Commercial Team and the Directorate owning the contract.

The Directorate also provides Directorates with performance management statistics to the individual Directorates owning the delivery of services through the contracts. As such it will work with Directorates and Scrutiny to define what useful performance information and key performance indicators can be shared to improve and monitor ongoing QA and service performance of contracts.

Apart from the cross council responsibilities described above the Commercial & Change Directorate owns a number of substantial contracts for the provision of its own services. These include:

- A framework contract with Liberata for the provision of Transactional HR & Finance services
- A contract with HPE for the provision of the council's IT service management and infrastructure services
- A contract with Civica for the provision of the Shared Hub Services providing the vast majority of customer service for the authority
- Bromsgrove School PFI contract with BAM for 7 schools building repair, maintenance and facilities management services in Bromsgrove.

All the above contracts have clear quality measures and a defined governance structure to manage those contracts.

The Scrutiny HP and Civica attended in November identified some key points

- how they are able to piggy back internally to gain greater returns on the contracts in a way we could not,
- how they track and monitor customer satisfaction,
- how they are increasing productivity,
- the high value they put on QA and performance

APPENDIX A